## ABORTION LAW REFORM in NEW ZEALAND: 'Have Your Say by 19 September'

## A Message from the New Zealand Catholic Bishops to Parishioners

Every pregnancy involves at least two lives – the child and the mother. Every abortion takes away an innocent life.

Protecting and caring for life from conception to natural death stands at the core of our Catholic faith. From the moment an embryo comes into existence, a genetically and spiritually unique human life has begun. It is already the human being it will always be. It will only grow in size and complexity and is therefore entitled to be treated with the same respect as other human beings.

Our parliament is currently debating a significant revision of our abortion laws. This is a matter of serious concern. There are three ways we need to respond:

**Political:** We are called to speak up for those who cannot speak for themselves. We have an obligation to advocate for the right to life of the unborn child and the well-being of mothers. We encourage every one of you to exercise your democratic right to make a submission to parliament and to contact your local MP.

**Prayerful:** Every human life is a gift from God. Just as parents spontaneously pray for their unborn child, so we are called to pray for all the unborn, as well as for their parents and extended families. We also pray for wisdom for our MPs.

**Pastoral:** Our belief in the sanctity of life is demonstrated in the way we show love for both unborn children and their mothers. The message others hear from us will be shaped not just by our words, but also by the way in which we speak and act. We need to ask: 'After this debate is over, will our faith communities be seen as places of love, compassion and care that women facing the challenges of a pregnancy will want to turn to?'

We urge you to inform yourselves about this issue and take a stand for life. Submissions close Thursday 19 September.

## Some Points to Consider when Making Your Submission – Please Use Your Own Words

- Human life begins at conception and is entitled to the full protections offered to all human persons from that point.
- Abortion is both a justice issue and a health issue. There are two human lives involved in every pregnancy that of the mother and her unborn child. The State has an interest in preserving all human life, including unborn human life, and this has always been recognised by law. The taking of human life is rightly a justice matter.
- From a human and ethical point of view, the changes being proposed constitute a significant departure from the current law. Notwithstanding the way in which it may have been interpreted, the current law acknowledges the rights, and provides for statutory protection, of unborn human life, even though it qualifies this protection by balancing it against a pregnant woman's right to seek a lawful abortion in certain medical circumstances.
- By framing abortion solely as a health issue that is seen as a 'reproductive choice', the proposed law essentially denies the rights of the unborn child inalienable rights which arise out of a shared humanity.
- Women are not currently 'criminalised' for having abortions in New Zealand, as many claim, because the current law expressly states that women are not liable for prosecution under the Crimes Act for having an unauthorised abortion. (An exception to this is a woman procuring her own abortion, but this clause is removed in the proposed law.)
- The proposed new law will provide abortion 'on demand' for women up to 20 weeks gestation, no test for eligibility required. Women often choose to have an abortion because of a range of pressures and stresses related to poverty, social shaming, lack of community support, pressure from a partner or family, or isolation. Simply making abortions easier to obtain at all stages, as the new law proposes, does nothing to address these serious underlying issues, all of which have a coercive element.
- It is in the interest of free and informed consent which rightly underpins all health procedures that women should be offered independent counselling that (i) addresses the coercive realities surrounding many abortions and (ii) canvasses the options other than termination that exist for women who are pregnant. It is not enough to merely "advise women of the availability of counselling services" because this will fail to ensure proper 'informed consent'.
- The proposed law, while it states that health practitioners are "required to ensure that the woman makes an informed choice and gives informed consent," provides no robust process for ensuring that this will take place.
- In its 2017 Report to Parliament, the Abortion Supervisory Committee (ASC) stated that it "recognises the merit in having a robust pathway [for abortion] in place, which requires certifying consultants to assess and certify patients and to ensure counselling is offered." The proposed law is a step back from the ASC's own recommendations.
- A woman of any age can self-refer under the proposed law, including pregnant teenagers. While the current law also allows this, the process of requiring a doctor to refer, and two certifying consultants to sign off, provides added layers of scrutiny and support that do not exist under the proposed law. There are no safeguards in the proposed law to ensure that teenage mothers are not seeking an abortion because of abuse or coercion.
- The statutory test in the proposed law for abortions later than 20 weeks requires the practitioner to "reasonably believe that the abortion is appropriate in the circumstances", an undefined condition that is open to wide interpretation. Late-term abortions will become much easier to obtain than under the current law for a host of reasons that are currently excluded under our present abortion regime; these reasons include pregnancies terminated on the basis of disability, as well as sex-selective abortions.
- Neither the current nor the proposed law protects sufficiently against abortion on the grounds of disability. Under the proposed law, an unborn child with a disability may be more vulnerable because of the removal of the safeguard of the two certifying consultants.
- The proposed law does not clarify who is deemed to be a "Qualified Health Practitioner". There is no requirement that the practitioner be a doctor.
- The proposed law will undermine doctors' ability to exercise their right to freedom of conscience. By making it mandatory for a health practitioner to tell their patient how to access the contact details of another person who is a provider of the service requested, they become complicit in the abortion.

	Make Your Submission by Letter or Online (Please note that email submissions are not accepted)
Submission Closing Date: THURSDAY 19 SEPTEMBER	
圆	Letter: On a covering sheet, write: "Submission on Abortion Legislation Bill" + "This submission is from [full name] and/or [organisation] + contact details: contact address, contact phone, & signature. On a separate piece of paper write your submission. Enclose TWO copies of your submission. Post to: Committee Secretariat, Abortion Legislation Committee, Parliament Buildings, Wellington - stamp required
	Online: https://www.parliament.nz/en/ECommitteeSubmission/52SCAL_SCF_BILL_89814/CreateSubmission (or search for "Abortion Legislation Bill New Zealand Parliament" and follow the steps)
	Tips: Begin by stating clearly your position; give your reasons; use your own words; be respectful & constructive.
	Please state in your submission if you wish to make an oral submission

Material prepared by The Nathaniel Centre - the New Zealand Catholic Bioethics Centre - www.nathaniel.org.nz